

CITY OF NORWALK, CALIFORNIA
SINGLE AUDIT OF FEDERALLY ASSISTED
GRANT PROGRAMS

JUNE 30, 2018

City of Norwalk
Table of Contents
For the year ended June 30, 2018

	<u>Page Number</u>
Independent Auditors' Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with <i>Government Auditing Standards</i>	1 - 2
Independent Auditors' Report on Compliance for Each Major Program and on Internal Control over Compliance Required by the Uniform Guidance and on the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	3 - 5
<u>Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards and Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs</u>	
Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	6
Notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	7
Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs	8 - 9
Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings	10

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER
FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS
BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED
IN ACCORDANCE WITH *GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS*

The Honorable Mayor and
Members of City Council
City of Norwalk
Norwalk, California

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the City of Norwalk, California (the City), as of and for the year ended June 30, 2018, and the related notes to the basic financial statements, which collectively comprise the City's basic financial statements and have issued our report thereon dated December 12, 2018.

Internal Control over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered the City's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the City's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the City's financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the City's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

White Nelson Dick Evans LLP

Irvine, California
December 12, 2018

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT ON COMPLIANCE FOR EACH
MAJOR PROGRAM AND ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE
REQUIRED BY THE UNIFORM GUIDANCE AND ON THE SCHEDULE OF
EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS

The Honorable Mayor and
Members of City Council
City of Norwalk
Norwalk, California

Report on Compliance for Each Major Federal Program

We have audited the City of Norwalk, California's (the City) compliance with the types of compliance requirements described in the *OMB Compliance Supplement* that could have a direct and material effect on each of the City's major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2018. The City's major federal programs are identified in the summary of auditors' results section of the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs.

Management's Responsibility

Management is responsible for compliance with the federal statues, regulations, and the terms and conditions of its federal awards applicable to its federal programs.

Auditors' Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on compliance for each of the City's major federal programs based on our audit of the types of compliance requirements referred to above. We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and the audit requirements of Title 2 U.S. *Code of Federal Regulations* Part 200, *Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards* (Uniform Guidance). Those standards and the Uniform Guidance require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the City's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance for each major federal program. However, our audit does not provide a legal determination of the City's compliance.

Opinion on Each Major Federal Program

In our opinion, the City complied, in all material respects, with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on each of its major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2018.

Report on Internal Control over Compliance

Management of the City is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered the City's internal control over compliance with the types of requirements that could have a direct and material effect on each major federal program to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance for each major federal program and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with Uniform Guidance, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control over compliance.

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program on a timely basis. *A material weakness in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. *A significant deficiency in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of Uniform Guidance. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

Report on Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards Required by Uniform Guidance

We have audited the financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, each major fund and the aggregate remaining fund information of the City, as of and for the year ended June 30, 2018, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the City's basic financial statements. We issued our report thereon dated December 12, 2018, which contained unmodified opinions on those financial statements. Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the basic financial statements.

**Report on Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards Required by Uniform Guidance
(Continued)**

The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal awards is presented for purposes of additional analysis as required by the Uniform Guidance and is not a required part of the basic financial statements. Such information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements. The information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements or to the basic financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the schedule of expenditures of federal awards is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the basic financial statements as a whole.

White Nelson Dick Evans LLP

Irvine, California
December 12, 2018

**SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS
AND
SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS**

CITY OF NORWALK

SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS

For the year ended June 30, 2018

Federal Grantor / Pass - Through Grantor / Program / Cluster Title	Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Number	Program Identification Number	Federal Expenditures	Subrecipient Expenditures
<u>United States Department of Housing and Urban Development</u>				
Direct Assistance:				
Community Development Block Grants/Entitlement Grants	14.218	B-17-MC-06-0524	\$ 840,358	\$ 93,043
Community Development Block Grants/Entitlement Grants	14.218	Program Income	503,288	-
Total Community Development Block Grants/Entitlement Grants			1,343,646	93,043
Home Investment Partnerships Program	14.239	M-17-MC06-0552	175,239	-
Home Investment Partnerships Program	14.239	Program Income	33,570	-
Total Home Investment Partnerships Program			208,809	-
Lower Income Housing Assistance Program				
Section 8 Housing Choice Vouchers	14.871	CA118VO	7,099,320	-
Total United States Department of Housing and Urban Development			8,651,775	93,043
<u>United States Department of Justice</u>				
Direct Assistance:				
Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant Program	16.738	2014-DJ-BX-0320	8,391	-
Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant Program	16.738	2015-DJ-BX-0250	11,939	-
Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant Program	16.738	2016-DJ-BX-0236	23,250	-
Total United States Department of Justice			43,580	-
<u>United States Department of Transportation</u>				
Passed-through State of California Department of Transportation:				
Highway Planning and Construction	20.205	HSIP-5347(042)	35	-
Highway Planning and Construction	20.205	ATPL 5347(041)	1,486,515	-
Total Highway Planning and Construction			1,486,550	-
Direct Assistance:				
Federal Transit Formula Grants	20.507	CA-2017-111	889,749	-
Federal Transit Formula Grants	20.507	CA-2016-034	58,701	-
Federal Transit Formula Grants	20.507	CA-90-Z218	400,469	-
Federal Transit Formula Grants	20.507	CA-04-0141	256,494	-
Federal Transit Formula Grants	20.507	CA-90-Y678	240,000	-
Total Federal Transit Formula Grants			1,845,413	-
Total United States Department of Transportation			3,331,963	-
<u>United States Department of Health and Human Services</u>				
Child Care Development Fund Cluster:				
Passed-through State of California Department of Education:				
Child Care and Development Block Grant	93.575	C2AP-7023	470,070	-
Child Care and Development Block Grant	93.575	C3AP-7023	718,052	-
Child Care Mandatory and Matching Funds of the Child Care and Development Fund	93.596	CAPP-7023	130,812	-
	93.596	C3AP-7023	27,131	-
Total Child Care Development Fund Cluster			1,346,065	-
Passed-through County of Los Angeles:				
Special Programs for the Aging Title III, Part B, Grants for Supportive Services and Senior Centers	93.044	SSP-141814	70,599	-
Temporary Assistance for Needy Families	93.558	S1CC17-001	1,212,662	-
Total United States Department of Health and Human Services			2,629,326	-
TOTAL EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS:			\$ 14,656,644	\$ 93,043

See accompanying notes to the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards.

1. BASIS OF PRESENTATION

The accompanying Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards (the Schedule) includes the federal award activity of the City of Norwalk (the City) under programs of the federal government as well as federal financial assistance passed through other government agencies for the year ended June 30, 2017. The information in this Schedule is presented in accordance with the requirements of Title 2 U.S. *Code of Federal Regulations* Part 200, *Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards* (Uniform Guidance). Because the Schedule presents only a selected portion of the operations of the City, it is not intended to and does not present the financial statements of the City. The City's reporting entity is defined in Note 1 of the notes to the City's financial statements.

2. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Expenditures reported on the Schedule are reported using the modified accrual basis of accounting for governmental funds and the accrual basis for proprietary funds, which is described in Note 1 of the notes to the City's financial statements. Expenditures are recognized following the cost principles contained in the Uniform Guidance wherein certain types of expenditures are not allowable or are limited as to reimbursement.

3. INDIRECT COST RATE

The City has elected not to use the 10% de minimis indirect cost rate allowed under the Uniform Guidance.

4. RELATIONSHIP TO COMPREHENSIVE ANNUAL FINANCIAL REPORT

Amounts reported in the accompanying Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards agree to amounts reported within the City's Comprehensive Annual Financial Report.

5. RELATIONSHIP TO FEDERAL FINANCIAL REPORTS

Amounts reported in the accompanying Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards agree with amounts reported in federal financial reports.

6. CONTINGENCIES

Under the terms of federal and state grants, additional audits may be requested by the grantor agencies and certain costs may be questioned as not being appropriate expenditures under the terms of the grants. Such audits could lead to a request for reimbursement to the grantor agencies.

1. SUMMARY OF AUDITORS' RESULTS

Financial Statements

Type of auditors' report issued:

- Unmodified

Internal control over financial reporting:

- Material weakness identified? ___ yes x no
- Significant deficiency identified? ___ yes x none reported

Noncompliance material to financial statements noted: ___ yes x no

Federal Awards:

Internal control over major programs:

- Material weakness identified? ___ yes x no
- Significant deficiency identified? ___ yes x none reported

Type of auditors' report issued on compliance for major programs:

- Unmodified

Any audit findings disclosed that are required to be reported in accordance with 2 CFR Section 200.516(a) of the Uniform Guidance?

___ yes x no

Identification of major programs:

<u>CFDA Number</u>	<u>Name of Federal Program or Cluster</u>
14.218	Community Development Block Grants
14.239	Home Investment Partnerships Program
20.205	Highway Planning and Construction

Dollar threshold used to distinguish between type A and type B programs:

\$ 750,000

Auditee qualified as low-risk auditee?

 x yes ___ no

2. FINDINGS - FINANCIAL STATEMENT AUDIT

None.

3. FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS - MAJOR FEDERAL AWARD PROGRAM AUDIT

There were no findings or questioned costs for the year ended June 30, 2018.

City of Norwalk
Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings
For the year ended June 30, 2018

There were no findings reported for fiscal year 2016-2017.